



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,864	10/22/2001	Mark Wurster	2438/1H787-US1	9678
7278 7590 02/12/2007 DARBY & DARBY P.C. P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			EXAMINER COBANOGLU, DILEK B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	02/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/020,864

Applicant(s)

WURSTER, MARK

Examiner

Dilek B. Cobanoglu

Art Unit

3626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 November 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to the Affidavit (DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.131) received 11/07/2006. The prosecution has been reopened. Claims 1-39 still pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

3. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being unpatentable by Surwit et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,024,699).

A. As per claim 1, Surwit et al. discloses a method for using an administration of anticoagulation medication system accessed via a computer terminal over a network, the method comprising the steps of:

- i. receiving current information for each patient's visit (Surwit et al.; abstract, col. 2, lines 49-55); and
- ii. automatically calculating a new weekly dose medication regimen based on the received information (Surwit et al.; col. 7, lines 5-13, col. 12, lines 44-55).

B. As per claim 2, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the information received includes at least one of a patient's current

weekly anticoagulation medication dose, current international normalized ratio, and international normalized ratio goal (Surwit et al.; col. 6, lines 49-55, col. 7, lines 5-13 and col. 12, lines 44-55).

C. As per claim 3, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 2, wherein the new weekly dose medication regimen is based on at least one of the patient's current weekly anticoagulation medication dose, current international normalized ratio, and international normalized ratio goal (Surwit et al.; col. 7, lines 5-13, col. 12, lines 44-55).

D. As per claim 4, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the new weekly dose medication regimen is calculated based on a equation customizable by each user (Surwit et al.; col. 7, lines 47-63).

E. As per claim 5, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, further comprising displaying standard medical guidelines in response to a user's request (Surwit et al.; col. 6, lines 51-67).

F. As per claim 7, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, further comprising converting the new weekly dose medication into daily doses based on a number of milligrams in a single pill (Surwit et al.; col. 19, lines 41-65).

G. As per claim 8, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 7, wherein said converting step further comprises receiving from a user over the network a setting of a predetermined number of milligrams in a single pill as defined by the user (Surwit et al.; col. 19, lines 41-65).

H. As per claim 9, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the anticoagulation medication is low molecular weight heparin (Surwit et al.; col. 6, lines 49-55).

Examiner considers that anticoagulation therapy would include low molecular weight heparin.

I. As per claim 11, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, further comprising displaying a list of patients that are overdue for a scheduled visit as of a current date (Surwit et al.; col. 18, line 48-63 to col. 19, line 7, Figure 13).

J. As per claim 12, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 11, wherein the scheduled visit is overdue if delayed more than a predetermined number of days, as defined by a user, relative to a current date (Surwit et al.; col. 17, line 58 to col. 18, line 3).

K. As per claim 13, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the current information includes updated medication information, the method further comprising automatically displaying medication interaction messages in response to receiving the updated medication information (Surwit et al.; col. 8, line 64 to col. 9, line 7, col. 9, lines 59-67).

L. As per claim 14, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, further comprising displaying a list of patients scheduled for a visit on a current date (Surwit et al.; col. 11, line 60 to col. 12, line 5).

M. As per claim 15, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 14, further comprising selecting a particular patient from the list of patients scheduled (Surwit et al.; col. 12, lines 17-19).

N. As per claim 16, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, further comprising generating a report of at least one of patient, physician, and clinic summary information (Surwit et al.; col. 11, lines 15-32).

O. As per claim 17, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 16, wherein said report is customizable as to which fields are to be included therein (Surwit et al.; col. 11, lines 15-32).

P. As per claim 18, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 17, wherein said report is customizable in at least one of sorting and grouping of the fields included therein (Surwit et al.; col. 17, lines 42-57).

Q. As per claim 19, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

- i. accessing the system via a web site (Surwit et al.; col. 9, lines 31-34); and
- ii. receiving a selection of preferences to customize configuration of the web site (Surwit et al.; col. 9, lines 50-58).

R. As per claim 20, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, further comprising automatically calculating a scheduled return visit based on whether the new weekly dose medication regimen has changed relative to the current weekly anticoagulation medication dose (Surwit et al.; col. 8, lines 47-55).

S. As per claim 37, Surwit et al. discloses a system for administration of anticoagulation medication accessed via a computer terminal over a network, comprising: a processor for receiving current information for each patient's visit and automatically calculating a new weekly dose medication regimen based on the received information (Surwit et al.; col. 8, lines 18-36).

T. As per claim 38, Surwit et al. discloses the system in accordance with claim 37, wherein the current information received includes at least one of a patient's current weekly anticoagulation medication dose, current international normalized ratio, and international normalized ratio goal (Surwit et al.; col. 6, lines 49-55, col. 7, lines 5-13 and col. 12, lines 44-55).

U. As per claim 39, Surwit et al. discloses the system in accordance with claim 38, wherein the new weekly dose medication regimen is based on at least one of the patient's current weekly anticoagulation medication dose, current international normalized ratio, and international normalized ratio goal (Surwit et al.; col. 7, lines 5-13, col. 12, lines 44-55).

V. As per claims 21-36, they are system claims, which repeat the same limitations of claims 1-4, 7-9, 11-20, the corresponding method claims, as a collection of elements as opposed to a series of process steps. Since the teachings of Surwit et al. disclose the underlying process steps that constitute the methods of claims 1-4, 7-9, 11-20, it is respectfully submitted that they provide the underlying structural elements that perform the steps as well. As such, the

limitations of claims 21-36 are rejected for the same reasons given above for claims 1-4, 7-9, 11-20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surwit et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,024,699) in view of Baruch (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0077849).

A. As per claim 6, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 5.

Surwit et al. fails to expressly teach the standard medical guidelines published by American College of Chest Physicians. However, this feature is well known in the art, as evidenced by Baruch.

In particular, Baruch discloses standard medical guidelines published by American College of Chest Physicians (Baruch; paragraph 0065 and 0068).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the guidelines such as Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma with the American College of Chest Physicians with the motivation of examining healthcare

practitioner's adherence to national guidelines in prevention of disease, while also providing real time feedback (Baruch; paragraph 0065).

B. As per claim 10, Surwit et al. discloses the method in accordance with claim 1.

Surwit et al. fails to expressly teach the database of patient records based on at least one of patient's last name, patient's first name, medical record number, social security number and patient identification. However, this feature is well known in the art, as evidenced by Baruch.

In particular, Baruch discloses searching a database of patient records based on at least one of patient's last name, patient's first name, medical record number, social security number and patient identification (Baruch; paragraph 0052).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the databases for storing and manipulating patient data with the a database of patient records based on at least one of patient's last name, patient's first name, medical record number, social security number and patient identification with the motivation of lower the cost of medical malpractice and facility error rates (Baruch; paragraph 0053, lines 35-37).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited but not used prior art teach "Pharmacy drug management system providing patient specific drug dosing, drug interaction analysis, order generation, and patient data matching" 2001/0001144, "Systems and methods for electronic health management" 2002/0010597, "Individualized patient electronic medical records system" 6,523,009 B1, "Systems and methods for screening for adverse effects of a treatment" 6,656,122 B2, "Pharmaceutical formulation comprising a low molecular weight thrombin inhibitor and its prodrug" 6,962,905 B1, "Computer implemented patient medication review system and process for the managed care, health care and/or pharmacy industry" 6,014,631 A, "Systems, methods and computer program products for guiding the selection of therapeutic treatment regimens" 6,081,786 A, "Medication compliance monitoring device having conductive traces upon a frangible backing of a medication compartment" 4,616,316 A, Systems, methods and computer program products for guiding the selection of therapeutic treatment regimens 6188988 B1, Individualized patient electronic medical records system 6523009 B1.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dilek B. Cobanoglu whose telephone number is 571-272-8295. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on 571-272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3626

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



DBC
Art Unit 3626
02/02/2007

Carolyn Black
Patent Examiner - 3626
1/5/07